

**stichting
mathematisch
centrum**



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZW 106/78

APRIL

R. TIJDEMAN

ON THE EDGE-COLOURING PROPERTY FOR THE HEREDITARY
CLOSURE OF A COMPLETE UNIFORM HYPERGRAPH II

Preprint

2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam

BIBLIOTHEEK MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM
—AMSTERDAM—

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).

On the edge-colouring property for the hereditary closure of a complete uniform hypergraph II ^{*)}

by

R. Tijdeman

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the collection of subsets of cardinality at most h of a fixed set of cardinality n possesses a parallelism (1-factorization) if $n = kh-1$, h is odd, and $\frac{1}{2}(h+1) \leq k \leq h-4$, thereby completing results of A.E. Brouwer.

KEYWORDS & PHRASES: *parallelism, 1-factorization, edge-colouring property*

^{*)} This report will be submitted for publication elsewhere

INTRODUCTION

This note is written as a sequel to A.E. BROUWER [1], where definitions and relevant literature can be found. The results given there together with what will be proved below yield a complete characterization of the cases in which the hereditary closure of the complete h -uniform hypergraph on n vertices (\hat{K}_n^h) admits a parallelism (has a 1-factorization).

MAIN THEOREM.

- a) If $n \leq 2h$, then \hat{K}_n^h has a 1-factorization if and only if \hat{K}_n^{n-h-1} has.
 b) If $n = kh + \ell$ with $-1 \leq \ell \leq h-2$ and $k \geq 2$, then \hat{K}_n^h has a 1-factorization if and only if
 (i) $\ell = 0$ and $k \geq h-2$ or (ii) $\ell = -1$ and $k \geq \frac{1}{2}h-1$.

Indeed, a) is given by [1], Theorem 5; the "only if" part of b) is given by Theorems 1,2,3 and 4 and the "if" part of b) (i) is shown in Theorems 6 and 8. So we may assume that $n = kh-1$ and $k \geq \frac{1}{2}h-1$. The Theorems 7,9,12, 10 and 11 prove the 1-factorizability of \hat{K}_n^h for h even, for $k \geq h-3$ and h odd and for $k = \frac{1}{2}(h-1)$. In order to complete the proof of the main theorem we have to construct a 1-factorization of \hat{K}_n^h when $n = kh-1$, h is odd and $\frac{1}{2}(h+1) \leq k \leq h-4$. This is done below.

I thank Dr. A.E. Brouwer for his very substantial contribution to the presentation of this paper.

THE CONSTRUCTION

Let $n = kh-1$ with h odd, $h \geq 5$ and $k \geq \frac{1}{2}(h+1)$. Hence $k \geq 3$. We use 1-factors of the following types.

| | | |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Type α : | $1*(h-1)+(k-1)$ | $*h$ |
| β : | $\frac{1}{2}(h+1)*(h-2)$ | $+(k-\frac{1}{2}(h-1))*h$ |
| γ : | $\frac{1}{2}(h-1)*(h-2)+2*(h-1)+(k-\frac{1}{2}(h+1))*h$ | |
| δ : | $1*(h-3)+\frac{1}{2}(h-3)*(h-2)+1*(h-1)+(k-\frac{1}{2}(h-1))*h$ | |
| ϵ : | $2*(h-3)+\frac{1}{2}(h-5)*(h-2)$ | $+(k-\frac{1}{2}(h-3))*h$ |
| η_i for i even: | $1*i + \frac{1}{2}i$ | $*(h-2)+1*(h-1)+(k-\frac{1}{2}i-1)*h$ |
| η_i for i odd: | $1*i + \frac{1}{2}(i+1)$ | $*(h-2) + (k-\frac{1}{2}(i+1))*h$ |
| $(1 \leq i \leq h-4)$ | | |

(Here $\sum c_i \cdot i$ denotes a partition of the n -set into c_i sets of size i ($1 \leq i \leq h$). Note that indeed $n = \sum c_i \cdot i$ and that all c_i are integral and non-negative.)

By Baranyai's theorem we can find a 1-factorization of \hat{K}_n^h using N_j 1-factors of type $\sum c_i^{(j)} \cdot i$ if and only if $\sum_j N_j c_i^{(j)} = \binom{n}{i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, h$. We find the frequencies $N_\alpha, N_\beta, \dots, N_{\eta_i}$ of the 1-factors by the following process: Let the variables A, B, C and D denote the number of h -sets, $(h-1)$ -sets, $(h-2)$ -sets and $(h-3)$ -sets not yet used for some 1-factor, and let A_s, B_s, C_s, D_s be the value of A, B, C, D respectively after step s . ($s = 1, 2, 3, 4$).

Step 1. Take $\binom{n}{i}$ 1-factors of type η_i ($1 \leq i \leq h-4$). This exhausts the i -sets with $1 \leq i \leq h-4$. Furthermore,

$$A_1 = \binom{n}{h} - \sum_{\substack{i \leq h-4 \\ i \text{ odd}}} (k - \frac{1}{2}(i+1)) \binom{n}{i} - \sum_{\substack{i \leq h-5 \\ i \text{ even}}} (k - \frac{1}{2}i - 1) \binom{n}{i},$$

$$B_1 = \binom{n}{h-1} - \sum_{\substack{i \leq h-5 \\ i \text{ even}}} \binom{n}{i},$$

$$C_1 = \binom{n}{h-2} - \sum_{\substack{i \leq h-4 \\ i \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{2}(i+1) \binom{n}{i} - \sum_{\substack{i \leq h-5 \\ i \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{2}i \binom{n}{i},$$

$$D_1 = \binom{n}{h-3}.$$

Note that

$$|B_1(k-1) - A_1| = \left| \sum_{\substack{i \leq h-4 \\ i \text{ odd}}} (k - \frac{1}{2}(i+1)) \binom{n}{i} - \sum_{\substack{i \leq h-5 \\ i \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{2}i \binom{n}{i} \right|$$

$$< (k - \frac{1}{2}(h-3)) \binom{n}{h-4} < \binom{n}{h-3},$$

$$\binom{n}{h} - A_1 \leq (k-1) \sum_{i \leq h-4} \binom{n}{i} \leq (k-1) \binom{n}{h-3} \left\{ \frac{h-3}{n-h+4} + \left(\frac{h-3}{n-h+4} \right)^2 + \dots \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{(h-3)(k-1)}{n-2h+7} \binom{n}{h-3} \leq \binom{n}{h-3} \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)^3} \binom{n}{h},$$

$$\binom{n}{h-1} - B_1 \leq \sum_{i \leq h-5} \binom{n}{i} < \frac{1}{k-1} \binom{n}{h-4} \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)^4} \binom{n}{h-1},$$

$$\binom{n}{h-2} - C_1 \leq \frac{h-3}{2} \sum_{i \leq h-4} \binom{n}{i} < \frac{h-3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{k-1} \binom{n}{h-3} < \frac{1}{k-1} \binom{n}{h-2},$$

so that we did not take more $(h-i)$ -sets ($i = 0, 1, 2$) than is allowed. We continue taking 1-factors, each time decreasing A, B, C, D by the appropriate amount.

Step 2. While $D > 1$ take a 1-factor of type δ if $B(k-1)-A > \frac{1}{4}h^2$
and a 1-factor of type ϵ otherwise.

If $D=1$, then take a 1-factor of type δ .

After this step $D=0$; we used all $(h-3)$ -sets. Taking a 1-factor of type δ decreases $B(k-1)-A$ by $\frac{1}{2}(h-3) \geq 1$, while taking a 1-factor of type ϵ increases $B(k-1)-A$ by $k-\frac{1}{2}(h-3) \geq 2$. Since $|B_1(k-1)-A_1| < D_1$ it follows that

$$0 < B_2(k-1) - A_2 \leq \frac{1}{4}h^2 + k - \frac{1}{2}(h-3).$$

Since $N_\delta + 2N_\epsilon = \binom{n}{h-3}$, we have

$$A_1 - A_2 \leq (k-\frac{1}{2}(h-3)) \binom{n}{h-3} \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)^2} \binom{n}{h},$$

$$B_1 - B_2 = N_\delta \leq \binom{n}{h-3} \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)^2} \binom{n}{h-1},$$

$$C_1 - C_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(h-3) \binom{n}{h-3},$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{h-2} - C_2 &\leq \frac{h-3}{2(k-1)} \binom{n}{h-3} + \frac{1}{2}(h-3) \binom{n}{h-3} \leq \frac{h-3}{2(k-1)} \cdot \frac{k(h-2)}{n-h+3} \binom{n}{h-2} \\ &\leq (1 - \frac{1}{k-1}) \cdot 1 \cdot \binom{n}{h-2} < \binom{n}{h-2} \end{aligned}$$

so that A_2, B_2 and C_2 are positive. By $\binom{n}{h-2} \geq \binom{n}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}h^2(k-1)^2$, we further obtain

$$C_2 \geq \frac{1}{k-1} \binom{n}{h-2} \geq \frac{1}{2}(k-1)h^2 \geq h^2.$$

Step 3. While $C > \frac{1}{4}h^2$ take a 1-factor of type γ if $B(k-1)-A > \frac{1}{4}h^2$
and a 1-factor of type β otherwise.

Taking a 1-factor of type γ decreases $B(k-1)-A$ by $k+\frac{1}{2}(h-3)$ while taking a 1-factor of type β increases $B(k-1)-A$ by $k-\frac{1}{2}(h-1) \geq 1$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{4}h^2 - k - \frac{1}{2}(h-3) \leq B_3(k-1) - A_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}h^2 + k - \frac{1}{2}(h-1).$$

We further note that $C_3 \geq \frac{1}{4}h^2 - \frac{1}{2}(h+1) > \frac{1}{4}(h-1)^2 - 1$. Since any number larger than $\frac{1}{4}(h-1)^2 - 1$ is a non-negative linear combination of $\frac{1}{2}(h-1)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(h+1)$, the execution of the following step is possible.

Step 4. Take 1-factors β and γ in such a way that C becomes zero.

This step at most increases $B(k-1) - A_4$ by $\frac{1}{2}(h-1)(k - \frac{1}{2}(h-1))$ or decreases it by $\frac{1}{2}(h-1)(k + \frac{1}{2}(h-3))$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{4}h^2 - \frac{1}{2}(h+1)(k + \frac{1}{2}(h-3)) \leq B_4(k-1) - A_4 \leq \frac{1}{4}h^2 + \frac{1}{2}(h+1)(k - \frac{1}{2}(h-1)).$$

In steps 3 and 4 we take at most $C_2 / (\frac{1}{2}(h-1))$ 1-factors and each of them diminishes B with at most 2. Hence,

$$B_2 - B_4 \leq \frac{4C_2}{h-1} < \frac{4}{h-1} \binom{n}{h-2}$$

and therefore, by $h \geq 5$ and $k \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{h-1} - B_4 &\leq ((\binom{n}{h-1} - B_1) + (B_1 - B_2) + (B_2 - B_4)) \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)^4} + \frac{1}{(k-1)^2} + \frac{4}{h-1} \cdot \frac{1}{k-1} \right) \binom{n}{h-1} < \binom{n}{h-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $B_4 > 0$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^h i \binom{n}{i} = n \sum_{i=1}^h \binom{n-1}{i-1}$ is divisible by n , each partition covers n points and all i -sets except for h -sets and $(h-1)$ -sets are exhausted, we have $(h-1)B_4 + hA_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Hence,

$$h(B_4(k-1) - A_4) = nB_4 - ((h-1)B_4 + hA_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

By $(h, n) = 1$ it follows that $B_4(k-1) - A_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. However,

$$B_4(k-1) - A_4 \leq \frac{1}{4}h^2 + \frac{1}{2}(h+1)(k - \frac{1}{2}(h-1)) = \frac{1}{2}k(h+1) < n$$

and

$$B_4(k-1) - A_4 \geq \frac{1}{4}h^2 - \frac{1}{2}(h+1)(k + \frac{1}{2}(h-3)) = -\frac{1}{2}(h+1)(k-1) > -n.$$

From $B_4(k-1) - A_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ and $|B_4(k-1) - A_4| < n$ we conclude that $B_4(k-1) = A_4$. By $B_4 > 0$ we see that $A_4 > 0$. It is now obvious that the following step finishes the construction.

Step 5. Take B_4 1-factors of type α .

REMARK. In fact we proved the more general result:

Let $\{h-3, h-2, h-1, h\} \subset H \subset \{1, \dots, h\}$, h odd, $h \geq 5$ and $k \geq \frac{1}{2}(h+1)$,
 $n = kh-1$. Then K_n^H is 1-factorizable.

REFERENCE

- [1] BROUWER, A.E., *On the edge-colouring property for the hereditary closure of a complete uniform hypergraph*, Report ZW 95/77, Math. Centr., Amsterdam, 1977.

ONTARIO 22 FEB 1978